



The Application of Differentiated Instruction in Nursing Education

Dr. Ioanna Tsatsou*

Cancer Nurse, Msc, PhD, Oncology-Hematology Department, Hellenic Airforce General Hospital, Athens, Greece



Abstract

Differentiated instruction (DI) is a pedagogical approach that aims to tailor teaching environments and practices to accommodate diverse learners' needs. In nursing education, the application of DI has gained attention as a means to better prepare future nurses with varied backgrounds, experiences, and learning preferences. This review explores the principles of DI, its application in nursing education, challenges to implementation, and recommendations for effective use.

Introduction

The field of nursing demands high levels of competence, critical thinking, and adaptability. Traditional "one-size-fits-all" teaching approaches often fail to address the diverse learning styles and experiences found in contemporary nursing cohorts. Differentiated instruction (DI) offers an alternative by adapting teaching to meet individual needs, thus potentially improving educational outcomes. DI is a teaching approach where educators tailor their instruction to meet the diverse learning needs of individual students. This involves adapting the content (what is taught), the process (how it is taught), and the product (how students demonstrate learning) based on students' readiness, interests, and learning profiles [1]. This review examines the application of DI in nursing education and its role in developing competent, reflective, and skilled nurses.

Principles of Differentiated Instruction

Differentiated instruction is based on the philosophy that students learn best when teachers accommodate their individual differences [2]. The main principles include:

- Content differentiation: Adjusting what students learn.
- Process differentiation: Varying how students access material.
- Product differentiation: Allowing different ways for students to demonstrate understanding.
- Learning environment: Creating a supportive classroom environment conducive to multiple learning styles [3].

Rationale for Differentiated Instruction in Nursing

In nursing education, DI acknowledges the varied backgrounds, learning styles, and paces of nursing students. It moves away from a "one-size-fits-all" approach to create more engaging and effective learning experiences. DI supports the development of cognitive, psychomotor, and affective skills essential to clinical competence. DI improves engagement, critical thinking, and academic performance.

The diversity among nursing students is significant in terms of age, cultural background, prior education, work experience, and learning styles [4]. These variations require instructional approaches that are flexible and responsive. First, the generational diversity. Baby Boomers, Generation X, Millennials, and Generation Z students coexist, each with unique expectations and technological fluency [5]. Second, the cultural and linguistic diversity with an increasing numbers of international students and multilingual learners bring varying perspectives and challenges [6]. Finally, the academic diversity since students may enter nursing programs with backgrounds ranging from science-focused to humanities-based education. Failure to address these differences can hinder academic success and skill acquisition critical to safe patient care [7].

OPEN ACCESS

*Correspondence:

Dr. Ioanna Tsatsou, Cancer Nurse,
Msc, PhD, Oncology-Hematology
Department, Hellenic Airforce General
Hospital, Athens, Greece,
E-mail: itsatsou@uniwa.gr

Received Date: 27 Apr 2025

Accepted Date: 02 May 2025

Published Date: 05 May 2025

Citation:

Ioanna Tsatsou. The Application of
Differentiated Instruction in Nursing
Education. WebLog J Nurs. wjnr.2025.
e0502. [https://doi.org/10.5281/
zenodo.15980082](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15980082)

Copyright© 2025 Dr. Ioanna

Tsatsou. This is an open access
article distributed under the Creative
Commons Attribution License, which
permits unrestricted use, distribution,
and reproduction in any medium,
provided the original work is properly
cited.

Methods of Applying Differentiated Instruction in Nursing Education

Assessment of student readiness and learning profiles

Effective differentiation begins with the assessment of students' readiness, interests, and learning profiles [8]. Diagnostic assessments, learning style inventories, and personal interviews help instructors tailor their teaching strategies.

Flexible grouping

Students are dynamically grouped based on their needs, interests, or abilities for particular tasks or topics. This strategy encourages collaboration and peer learning while targeting instruction appropriately [9].

Varied instructional strategies

To meet diverse learning needs, nursing educators can utilize:

- Case-Based Learning: Encourages clinical reasoning and decision-making.
- Simulation: Provides experiential learning through realistic clinical scenarios [10].
- Flipped Classrooms: Students review content independently and engage in problem-solving during class [11]. Flipped learning in nursing education works really well, especially for improving critical thinking, clinical skills, and engagement. But it works best when teachers make the in-class activities very interactive. A recent meta analysis showed that flipped learning improves academic outcomes in nursing education. Students in flipped learning models performed significantly better than those in traditional lecture-based classes. This includes knowledge acquisition, skill performance, and critical thinking ability. The biggest positive effect was on critical thinking skills. Flipped classrooms especially boosted critical thinking, which is essential for clinical decision-making in nursing. Skill performance was also strongly improved. Nursing students in flipped classrooms showed better hands-on clinical skills, likely because flipped models allow more in-class time for practice and active learning. Flipped learning was effective across different educational settings. Whether the flipped model was used in theory courses, clinical practice, or simulations, it consistently showed benefits. The effect was stronger in simulation-based and clinical practice-based flipped learning than in purely classroom-based models. Flipped learning was most successful when the in-class activities included problem-solving, discussion, teamwork, and interactive exercises, not just passive review. Student satisfaction was higher in flipped learning environments. Students generally preferred flipped classrooms because they felt more engaged and in control of their learning [12].
- Technology-Enhanced Learning: Using online modules, virtual simulations, and interactive apps. In their systematic review, McCutcheon et al. (2015) found that online and blended learning methods can be just as effective as traditional face-to-face instruction for teaching clinical skills to undergraduate nursing students. The study revealed that, in many cases, blended learning—which combines online digital resources with in-person teaching—produced equal or even superior outcomes compared to face-to-face methods alone. Students engaging in blended learning often showed better knowledge acquisition, skills performance, and satisfaction with their learning experiences. However, the review also highlighted some inconsistencies across studies regarding the quality and design of online learning interventions. Effective outcomes were most often

associated with programs that used well-structured, interactive, and engaging digital content. The authors concluded that while online and blended approaches are promising alternatives or supplements to traditional learning, careful instructional design and adequate technological support are crucial to their success [13].

Adjusted content, process, and product

Educators can offer:

- Tiered Assignments: Different levels of complexity for assignments based on students' skills.
- Choice Boards: Providing options for how to learn content or complete assignments.
- Personalized Learning Objectives: Allowing students to set specific goals within broader curriculum outcomes [14].

The traditional focus in nursing education on "covering content", meaning trying to teach as much information as possible, limits students' ability to develop critical thinking and clinical judgment. Simply adding more information to the curriculum (the additive model) does not improve students' deeper understanding or readiness for practice. Instead, nursing education should shift focus from memorizing content toward teaching students how to think, reason, and make clinical decisions. Educators are encouraged to redesign curricula and teaching methods to prioritize thinking processes rather than just information delivery. Promoting active learning, reflection, dialogue, and problem-solving is crucial for preparing competent nurses in complex healthcare environments [15].

Benefits of Differentiated Instruction in Nursing Education

Differentiated instruction offers numerous benefits in nursing education. Increased engagement and motivation occur when tailored learning experiences make course material more relevant and interesting to students. This personalization also contributes to improved learning outcomes, as addressing individual needs enhances comprehension and retention. By catering to diverse learning styles, such as visual, auditory, and kinesthetic approaches, educators enable students to learn in the ways that best suit them. Furthermore, DI enhanced critical thinking emerges from varied activities and assessments that promote deeper understanding. Differentiation also fosters the development of self-directed learners, encouraging students to take greater ownership of their educational journeys. Importantly, it creates a more equitable learning environment, ensuring that all students, regardless of background or starting point, have the opportunity to succeed. Finally, recognizing and addressing individual differences in the classroom prepares future nurses for better patient-centered care, as they become more attuned to the diverse needs of the patients they will serve [16].

Challenges of Implementing Differentiated Instruction in Nursing Education

Despite its benefits, implementing DI in nursing education presents several challenges. One significant barrier is the increased preparation time, as designing varied activities and assessments require considerably more effort from educators. Classroom management can also become more complex when managing diverse activities and student groups simultaneously. In addition, faculty development is crucial, as many nurse educators have limited training in educational theory or instructional design and may find

DI overwhelming [17]. Assessment complexity further complicates the process, as evaluating different types of student work is often more time-consuming than traditional assessment methods. Large class sizes pose another challenge, making individualized approaches difficult to implement effectively, particularly when combined with limited resource availability, such as restricted access to diverse materials, technology, or simulation tools [18]. Moreover, faculty preparedness and time constraints significantly impact the feasibility of adopting DI, with educators often lacking the time needed for the additional planning [19]. Lastly, resistance to change can hinder progress, as both faculty and students accustomed to traditional lecture-based teaching methods may be reluctant to embrace active, student-centered learning models [20].

To facilitate the integration of DI in nursing education, several strategies are recommended. Professional development initiatives, such as workshops and training sessions, can help educators understand and apply DI principles and techniques effectively. Administrative support is also critical, with institutions encouraged to allocate sufficient time, funding, and resources for course redesign and innovation. Additionally, collaborative teaching approaches, including team teaching and peer mentorship, can ease the burden of planning while fostering creativity and innovation among faculty members. Finally, an incremental implementation strategy, where educators start small and gradually expand DI practices, can help build confidence and increase the likelihood of successful adoption [2, 21, 22].

Future Directions

As the nursing profession becomes more globalized and technologically advanced, differentiated instruction will play an even greater role. Emerging trends include:

- Artificial Intelligence (AI): Adaptive learning platforms can provide real-time differentiated content based on student performance [23].
- Virtual and Augmented Reality: Enhancing simulation experiences to match students' skill levels [24].
- Competency-Based Education: Aligning DI with personalized pathways for skill mastery rather than time-based progression [25].

Continued research is needed to identify the most effective DI strategies and to evaluate their impact on nursing clinical competence and patient care outcomes.

Conclusion

Differentiated instruction offers a powerful framework to address the varied learning needs within nursing education. While challenges to implementation exist, the potential benefits to student engagement, critical thinking, and clinical competence make it a valuable pedagogical approach. Future nurse educators must embrace flexibility, creativity, and a commitment to lifelong learning to successfully integrate DI into nursing curricula.

References

1. Tomlinson CA. *The Differentiated Classroom: Responding to the Needs of All Learners*. 2nd ed. ASCD; 2014.
2. Tomlinson, C. A. Differentiated instruction. In *Fundamentals of gifted education* (pp. 279-292). Routledge; 2017.
3. Levy H. Meeting the needs of all students through differentiated

instruction: Helping every child reach and exceed standards. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 81(4), 161-164.

4. Li YS, Chen HM, Yang BH, Liu CF. An exploratory study of the relationship between age and learning styles among students in different nursing programs in Taiwan. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2011 Jan; 31(1):18-23.
5. Oh E, Reeves TC. Generational differences and the integration of technology in learning, instruction, and performance. *Handbook of research on educational communications and technology*, 2014, 819-828.
6. Yanaprasart P, Lüdi G. Diversity and multilingual challenges in academic settings. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*, 2018, 21(7), 825-840.
7. Jeffreys MR. Teaching cultural competence in nursing and health care. *Research & Theory for Nursing Practice* 25.1 (2011): 72.
8. Tomlinson CA, Strickland CA. *Differentiation in Practice: A Resource Guide for Differentiating Curriculum*. ASCD; 2005.
9. Rock ML, Gregg M, Ellis E, Gable RA. REACH: A framework for differentiating classroom instruction. *Preventing School Failure*. 2008; 52(2):31-47.
10. Lapkin S, Levett-Jones T, Bellchambers H, Fernandez R. Effectiveness of patient simulation manikins in teaching clinical reasoning skills to undergraduate nursing students: A systematic review. *Clin Simul Nurs*. 2010; 6(6): e207-e222.
11. Missildine K, Fountain R, Summers L, Gosselin K. Flipping the classroom to improve student performance and satisfaction. *J Nurs Educ*. 2013; 52(10): 597-599.
12. Park I, Suh Y. Meta-analysis of flipped learning effects in nursing education. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2021, 18(23), 12814.
13. McCutcheon K, Lohan M, Traynor M, Martin D. A systematic review evaluating the impact of online or blended learning vs. face-to-face learning of clinical skills in undergraduate nurse education. *J Adv Nurs*. 2015; 71(2): 255-270.
14. Abedi R, Nili Ahmadabadi MR, Taghiyareh F, Aliabadi K, Pourroustaei Ardakani S. The effects of personalized learning on achieving meaningful learning outcomes. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Virtual Learning in Medical Sciences*, 2021, 12(3), 177-187.
15. Ironside PM. "Covering content" and teaching thinking: Deconstructing the additive curriculum. *J Nurs Educ*. 2004; 43(1): 5-12.
16. Tomlinson CA. *How to differentiate instruction in academically diverse classrooms*. ASCD; 2017.
17. Taylor LD. *The affective domain in nursing education: Educators' perspectives*. 2014. Doctoral dissertation, The University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee.
18. Santangelo T, Tomlinson CA. Teacher educators' perceptions and use of differentiated instruction practices: An exploratory investigation. *Action in Teacher Education*. 2009; 31(3): 30-43.
19. Cooper S, Cant R, Porter J, et al. Simulation based learning in midwifery education: A systematic review. *Women Birth*. 2012; 25(2): 64-78.
20. Lavoie P, Clarke S. Simulation in nursing education. *Nurs Manage*. 2017; 24(7): 44-49.
21. Kahmann R, Droop M, Lazonder AW. Meta-analysis of professional development programs in differentiated instruction. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 2022, 116, 102072.
22. Fairbanks RJ, Wears RL, Woods DD, Hollnagel E, Plsek P, Cook RI. Resilience and resilience engineering in health care. *Joint Commission journal on quality and patient safety*, 2014, 40(8), 376-383.

23. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Nat Med.* 2019; 25(1): 44-56.
24. Foronda CL, Fernandez-Burgos M, Nadeau C, Kelley CN, Henry MN. Virtual simulation in nursing education: A systematic review spanning 1996 to 2018. *Simul Healthc.* 2020; 15(1): 46-54.
25. Ten Cate O. Competency-based postgraduate medical education: Past, present and future. *GMS J Med Educ.* 2017; 34(5): Doc69.